	State	Name of the District
2.	Bihar	1. Aurangabad 2. Gaya 3. Jehanabad 4. Rohtas 5. Nalanda 6. Patna 7. Bhojpur 8. Kaimur
3.	Jharkhand	1. Hazaribagh 2. Palamu 3. Chatra 4. Garhwa 5. Ranchi 6. Latehar 7. Giridih 8. Koderma 9. Bokaro 10. Dhanbad
4.	Madhya Pradesh	LDindori
5.	Chhattisgarh	1. Kawardha 2. Rajnandgaon 3. Sarguja 4. Jashpur
6.	Orissa	1. Ganjam 2. Gajapati 3. Mayurbhanj
7.	Uttar Pradesh	1. Chandauli

Note: Districts in bold are being covered in the current year.

Industrially backward districts in Bihar

†1829. SHRIMATI KUM KUM RAI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Muzaffarpur and Samastipur districts of Bihar are amongst the industrially backward districts in the country; and

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(b) if so, the details of the special schemes envisaged for the development of these districts?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE): (a) Yes, Muzaffarpur and Samastipur districts of Bihar have been categorized as industrially backward districts of Category-B as per the classification adopted for availing benefits under the Income-tax Act.

(b) Under the Growth Centre Scheme of Government of India, a Project has been sanctioned for Muzaffarpur district at a cost of Rs. 3311.00 lakh. There is no growth centre in the district of Samastipur.

Monitoring of important projects/schemes

1830. SHRIMATI AMBIKA SONI:
DR.T. SUBBARAMI REDDY:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether after the Tenth Plan introduced the system of quarterly performance review of various Ministries, the Planning Commission has carried out a review of the system itself and identified various areas where improvement could be brought about including the quality of monitoring;

(b) if so, whether important issues, including thrust areas, priority areas and reforms measures should be highlights which can be monitored in the Quarterly Performance Review mettings; and

(c) if so, to what extent these measure would improve public expenditure and ensure timely and effective implementation of approved projects and schemes leading to effective utilization of resources?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE): (a) and (b) In order to ensure timely implementation of approved Central Sector, Centrally Sponsored Schemes and State Sector Schemes/Projects/ Programmes, a system of Quarterly Performance Review (QPR) has been introduced by Planning Commission for which guidelines have been issued from time to time to make the system more effective and useful. Besides the review of the achievement of physical and financial targets set out for the individual